# School Administration Programs

2006-07



### SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION PROGRAMS

School administration programs are designed to prepare educational leaders who have the knowledge, skills, and dispositions to promote the success of all students. While specific course requirements vary from institution to institution, they are focused on enabling graduates to be able to facilitate the formation, articulation, and dissemination of a school or district vision of learning supported by the school community; promote and maintain a positive school culture for learning, by promoting effective instructional programs, applying best practices to student learning, and designing and implementing comprehensive professional growth programs for staff; manage organizational operations and resources in a way that promotes safe, efficient, and effective learning environments; collaborate with families and other community members, responding to diverse community interests and needs, and mobilizing community resources; understand the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context of schools; and advocate for all students.

During the 2006-07 academic year 17 institutions offered school administration programs. The institutions are:

Appalachian State University
Campbell University
East Carolina University
Elizabeth City State University
Fayetteville State University
Gardner-Webb University
High Point University
NC A & T State University
NC Central University

NC State University
UNC - Chapel Hill
UNC - Charlotte
UNC - Greensboro
UNC - Pembroke
UNC - Wilmington
Western Carolina University
Wingate University

### **Quality of Students Entering the Programs**

Institutions with approved school administration programs seek to recruit and retain quality students who reflect the diversity of the state and nation. They work closely with their college/university graduate admission offices and area school systems to promote their programs. Brochures and other promotional materials are routinely distributed. A number of institutions offer off-campus programs designed to bring programs to prospective school administrators.

### **School Administration Program Admission Requirements**

School Administration Program admission requirements vary from institution to institution. Most require prospective students to have a prescribed grade point average and to earn a satisfactory score on a standardized examination such as MAT or GRE. Many require references; a number require a writing sample (essay).

**Table XVI** summarizes the Fall 2006 enrollment in school administration programs. This data was provided by the institution. **Table XVII** summarizes admission test results. This data was provided by the institutions for the students reported as admitted to their programs. The MAT scores are based on the new MAT scoring rubric that ranges from 200 – 600. The GRE scores reflect the combined Verbal and Quantitative scores (each can range from 200-800).

Table XVI: Enrollment in School Administration Programs Fall 2006

**Full-Time** Part-Time Licensure Only Graduate Graduate **Licensure Only** M=Minority, T=Total М Appalachian State University Campbell University East Carolina University Elizabeth City State University Fayetteville State University Gardner-Webb University High Point University NC A&T State University NC Central University NC State University **UNC-Chapel Hill UNC-Charlotte** UNC-Greensboro **UNC-Pembroke UNC-Wilmington** Western Carolina University 

Wingate University

Totals:

**Table XVII: School Administration Program Admission Data** 

	MAT	GRE	GPA
State Averages	407	964	3.26
Appalachian State University	412	908	3.31
Campbell University		862	3.49
East Carolina University	411	1,086	3.07
Elizabeth City State University	389		3.76
Fayetteville State University		*	3.36
Gardner-Webb University	409	1,207	3.12
High Point University	405	814	3.56
NC A&T State University		*	3.41
NC Central University			3.81
NC State University	414	968	
UNC-Chapel Hill	402	952	3.12
UNC-Charlotte	404	908	3.35
UNC-Greensboro		937	3.23
UNC-Pembroke	399		3.50
UNC-Wilmington	*	*	3.94
Western Carolina University		983	
Wingate University	401	*	3.46

<sup>\*</sup> Less than five students took the test. Results not reported.

### QUALITY OF STUDENTS COMPLETING THE PROGRAMS

School administration programs are designed to prepare educational leaders who have the knowledge, skills, and dispositions to promote the success of all students. To this end, candidates are required to complete defined programs of study which include significant internship experiences. These experiences are expected to provide opportunities for synthesizing and applying knowledge and practicing skills through substantial, sustained, standards-based work in real settings, planned and guided cooperatively by the institution and school district personnel. The progress of candidates in completing program requirements is monitored and the competence of candidates is assessed throughout the program of study.

To be licensed as a school administrator, candidates must satisfactorily complete the School Leaders Licensure Assessment (SLLA) exam. A score of 155 is required to pass the exam.

**Table XVIII** summarizes the performance of candidates on the SLLA exam.

# **Table XVIII: Performance of MSA Program Completers** on the School Leaders Licensure Assessment

	Number	Pass Rate
State-wide	Tested 497	100
Appalachian State University	59	100
Campbell University	11	100
East Carolina University	45	100
Fayetteville State University	29	100
Gardner-Webb University	124	100
High Point University	5	100
NC A&T State University	5	100
NC Central University	19	100
NC State University	54	100
UNC-Chapel Hill	38	100
UNC-Charlotte	45	100
UNC-Greensboro	28	100
UNC-Pembroke	22	100
UNC-Wilmington	18	100
Western Carolina University	14	100

### **EMPLOYMENT AND PROGRAM SATISFACTION**

To determine the satisfaction with school administration programs of individuals who have completed the programs and their employers, a survey was distributed to recent school administration program completers employed in the public schools of North Carolina and their employers. Respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction with the program in general, their preparation to use technology to support the instructional program, their preparation in instructional leadership, and their preparation to assist teachers in meeting the needs of diverse learners.

On a 4.0 scale, ratings by program completers ranged from 3.29 (preparation to use technology) to 3.59 (overall program). Employer ratings ranged from 3.57 (diverse learners and instructional leadership) to 3.68 (overall program).

**Table XIX** summarizes the results of the survey of program completers and their employers.

58

**Table XIX: School Administration Program Completer Survey Data** 

Satisfaction	Nun	nber	Ove	rall			Instruc	tional	Dive	rse		
C = Completer	Respo	nding	Qua	lity	Techno	ology	Leade	rship	Learners			
E = Employer	С	Е	С	E	С	E	С	Е	С	E		
Appalachian State University	27	35	3.63	3.66	3.33	3.71	3.50	3.57	3.30	3.54		
Campbell University	5	5	4.00	3.80	3.40	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.60	3.60		
East Carolina University	26	31	3.85	3.81	3.27	3.74	3.65	3.58	3.50	3.58		
Fayetteville State University	9	12	3.33	3.55	3.56	3.25	3.44	3.42	3.56	3.50		
Gardner-Webb University	61	43	3.74	3.69	3.41	3.56	3.67	3.60	3.56	3.56		
High Point University	4	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
NC A&T State University	≈ 19	≈ 18	2.68	3.22	2.37	3.44	2.78	3.41	2.63	3.44		
NC Central University	9	3	3.78	*	3.44	*	3.56	*	3.44	*		
NC State University	36	27	3.72	3.81	3.44	3.78	3.65	3.56	3.53	3.62		
UNC-Chapel Hill	15	11	4.00	3.91	3.20	3.91	3.73	3.91	3.47	3.91		
UNC-Charlotte	35	18	3.11	3.50	3.14	3.61	3.24	3.44	3.26	3.44		
UNC-Greensboro	17	13	3.71	3.85	3.47	3.62	3.69	3.54	3.76	3.85		
UNC-Pembroke	7	7	4.00	3.83	3.43	3.71	4.00	3.71	3.86	3.43		
UNC-Wilmington	≈ 17	≈ 17	3.59	3.47	3.24	3.59	3.65	3.35	3.47	3.35		
Western Carolina University	14	11	3.50	3.82	3.29	3.73	3.57	3.73	3.21	3.64		
** Grand Totals	301	256										
State Averages			3.59	3.68	3.29	3.65	3.55	3.57	3.43	3.57		

<sup>\*</sup> Less than five survey responses received. Responses will be held and combined with next year's survey responses.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Contains only the number of surveys received this year.

pprox Last year, less than fieve survey responses were received. They are included with this year's responses.

### **REWARDS AND SANCTIONS**

## **School Administration Programs**

If schools are to succeed, they must be staffed with quality professionals. The quality of teacher education programs is a significant factor in determining the quality of the teaching profession. Because of this, programs should be assessed on a regular basis. One key method of assessing program quality is found in the Teacher Education Program Approval process. This process requires on-site reviews of teacher education programs by trained teams of professionals at least every seven years. To assist in carrying out this process and in assessing the on-going quality of teacher preparation, a Performance Report will be issued for each North Carolina college or university with an approved teacher education program. Data from the program approval process and the IHE Performance Report will be used to reward and sanction programs as required by the Excellent Schools Act.

Masters of School Administration Programs (MSAs) must:

- (a) Maintain annually a passing rate of at least 70% on the exam.
- (b) Receive annually positive ratings (3 or 4) from at least 70% of graduates and employers responding to surveys
- (c) Exhibit direct and ongoing involvement with the public schools.

An MSA program shall be designated as "Low Performing" if:

- (a) It does not meet 2 of the above 3 criteria in a single year; or
- (b) It does not meet the same 1 of the above 3 criteria twice in three years; or
- (c) It does not meet any 1 of the above 3 criteria for 3 consecutive years.

The public disclosure of the IHE Performance Reports serves as a means of rewards/sanctions itself, as institutions seek to attract students and garner alumni support. Further sanctions are described below.

For any criterion that the institution does not meet, it will be required to submit a written plan to NCDPI detailing the actions that will be taken to correct the deficiency(ies); technical assistance will be available through the Teacher Education Section. The reports will be reviewed by the SEC, which may recommend further action (e.g., sending a team to campus; requiring additional information, etc.) If an institution is designated "Low-Performing," on two consecutive assessments, the Department will conduct an on-site review of the program. The results of this review will be reported to the SBE and may result in closure of the licensure program.

A "rule of 5" will be applied to Praxis II and survey data; i.e., data with an "N" fewer than 5 will not be reported. Data will be banked until a minimum of 5 scores or responses are recorded and then reported.

-				_\	10	_	_	~	~	_	$\sim$ 1					-	_	_			•		•	-	$\sim$				•				_			•	_	-				-
:	รเม	K	VΙ	-۱	15		)⊢	50	ΞН			Ľ	AI)	M	IN	15		ĸ,	4 I	1(	м	ŀ	ΖН	( )	(i	ĸ,	ΔIV	I (	.(	IVI	ы	LE'	КS	Δ	NI	)	$-\mathbf{n}$	ЛΡ	1 (	) Y	Ηн	3



